

A3 26. (New) A graphical user interface according to claim 24 wherein said landscape is configurable by a user to determine said selected applications and categories of incoming communications within said different types.

REMARKS

Claims 2 and 3 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 1 and 4 to 16 have been amended to define clearly the Applicant's invention and are submitted to distinguish patentably over the prior art. New claims 17 to 26 have been added to define further aspects of the Applicant's invention and are submitted to distinguish patentably over the prior art. Claims 1 and 4 to 26 are now pending in the present application.

In the Official Action, the Examiner has raised an objection to the drawings because blocks 60 and 72 in Figure 1 are identically labelled. A letter to the Official Draftsman has been sent under separate cover proposing an amendment to Figure 1 to label block 60 as "CALL-LOG APPLICATION".

The indication of allowable subject matter in claims 5 to 14 is noted with appreciation.

Rejected claims 2, 3 have been cancelled. Claim 1 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,568,540 to Greco et al. ("Greco") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,943,055 to Sylvan ("Sylvan"). The Examiner is alleging that the Applicant's invention as defined by these claims would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the combined teachings of these references.

Claim 4 has been rejected 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Greco and Sylvan further in view U.S. Patent No. 5,675,507 to Volvo

("Volvo") and U.S. Patent No. 4,800,510 to Linderg et al. ("Linderg"). The Examiner is alleging that the Applicant's invention as defined by this claim would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the combined teachings of these references.

Claim 16 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Greco and Sylvan further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,233,318 to Picard ("Picard"). The Examiner is alleging that the Applicant's invention as defined by this claim would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the combined teachings of these references. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Independent claim 1 has been amended to incorporate allowable subject matter from claim 5. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claim 1 is patentably distinguishable over the prior art. Dependent claims 4 to 14 are further limited over independent claim 1 and are submitted to be patentably distinguishable over the cited art.

Independent claims 15 and 16 have also been amended to incorporate allowable subject matter from claim 5. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that these claims are patentably distinguishable over the prior art. Dependent claims 17 to 19 are further limited over the amended independent claim 16 and are submitted to be patentably distinguishable over the prior art.

New independent claim 20 defines a graphical user interface for displaying different types of incoming communications, and incorporates allowable subject matter from claim 5. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that this claim is patentably distinguishable over the prior art. Dependent claims 21 to 26 are further limited over independent claim 20 and are submitted to be patentably distinguishable over the prior art.

Reconsideration and allowance of claim 1 and 4 to 26 are requested.

Respectfully submitted,
DEBORAH L. PINARD

Dated: 12/3/02

By: Albert C. Smith

Albert C. Smith, Reg. No.: 20,355

Fenwick & West LLP

Two Palo Alto Square

Palo Alto, CA 94306

Tel.: (650) 858-7296

Fax.: (650) 494-1417